

Issues in Missouri Health Care 2009

Transforming Missouri Medicaid: Federal Waiver
Options and Processes

Acknowledgement

This is one in a series of issue papers on critical health care issues facing Missouri and the nation prepared by Health Management Associates, Inc., a national health care policy research and consulting firm and made possible by funding from the Missouri Foundation for Health and the Healthcare Foundation of Greater Kansas City. The papers are intended to provide nonpartisan expert analysis in an accessible format that will contribute to the public dialogue on the state of health care in Missouri. Questions should be directed to Thomas McAuliffe, Policy Analyst, Missouri Foundation for Health, 314.345.5574, tmcauliffe@mffh.org.

Issue Statement

Two key programs, Medicaid and the State Children’s Health Insurance Program (SCHIP), are important sources of financing for health care services for low-income Missouri adults and children. Both programs are financed jointly by the state and federal governments and operated by states under federal guidelines that are set forth in law, regulation, and policy letters issued by the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), the federal agency that oversees these programs.

Under waiver authority created by Congress, CMS can grant a state’s request to deviate from statutory and/or regulatory requirements that may stand in the way of service expansion or innovation. While MO HealthNet already operates elements of the Medicaid program in Missouri pursuant to a number of waivers, the ongoing transformation of the program as well as efforts to cover the uninsured may create opportunities to gain further flexibility from CMS through additional waivers.

Background and Discussion

The fundamental principle behind waivers is that Congress has decided that in certain circumstances, it is appropriate to allow states to deviate from the normal rules of the program in order to meet public policy goals. These goals can include improving care coordination through managed care arrangements, supporting the rights of elderly and disabled persons to receive care in the home setting instead of an institution, and covering the uninsured. Deviations from the rules are limited; the individual limits are discussed in the subsections below.

One key feature of every waiver type is a limit on expenditures. The way the limit is calculated varies by the type of waiver. This tends to create a great deal of confusion when waivers are discussed, particularly in the context of covering the uninsured, so these expenditure limits are explained below. Each of the following subsections presents a discussion of the purpose of the waiver authority, the provisions of law that can be waived, how the budget limit is calculated, the process for obtaining a waiver, and the timeline involved in seeking and renewing waivers.

Section 1915(b) Waivers

Purpose: Under Section 1915(b), CMS can give states the authority to require that Medicaid beneficiaries enroll in managed care plans. Once a beneficiary is enrolled in a managed care plan, he or she is guaranteed access to a network of doctors, hospitals, and other providers. This is not always the case outside of managed care, because it can sometimes be difficult to locate a doctor who accepts Medicaid patients. Since managed care plans are required to demonstrate that they have a viable network, finding a doctor who accepts Medicaid should not be an issue in managed care. Managed care plans under Medicaid are also held to standards concerning quality of care, such as identifying individuals with chronic diseases and linking them with needed care, and meeting targets for preventive health screening. In addition, there are enrollee protections such as the right to change managed care plans if the care received is not adequate. These rules cannot be waived under Section 1915(b).

What can be waived: There are three general Medicaid requirements that can be waived under Section 1915(b). These are referred to as statewideness, freedom of choice, and comparability. Each is discussed in turn.

- *Statewideness*, Section 1902(a)(1), under Medicaid requires that a state must operate its Medicaid program the same way in all areas of the state. It is not permissible, for example, to only offer certain benefits in urban areas or to exercise more generous eligibility criteria in some areas and not others. Under Section 1915(b), a state can be permitted to have a different managed care program design in certain areas or to limit the availability of managed care on a geographic basis. This is in recognition of the fact that it may not be possible to have a managed care network, or a sufficient choice of plans, in all areas of the state.
- *Freedom of choice*, Section 1902(a)(23), refers to the fact that under normal circumstances, Medicaid beneficiaries may seek care from any provider who accepts Medicaid. Under Section 1915(b), states may restrict freedom of choice to the providers within the managed care network, with certain exceptions. The managed care regulations do allow for out-of-network care in certain circumstances, and family planning services may always be accessed from any provider.
- *Comparability*, Section 1902(a)(10)(B), refers to the Medicaid requirement that the state offer a consistent benefit package across all the different eligibility groups that are covered in the Medicaid program. Under Section 1915(b), comparability may be waived for the express purpose of allowing managed care

plans to offer benefit enhancements. Examples of the types of additional benefits that may be offered are smoking cessation classes or weight loss programs.

Cost effectiveness: As mentioned above, every waiver authority has its own specific budget test. Under Section 1915(b), the underlying principle is that managed care should be “cost effective” when compared with standard fee-for-service Medicaid. Previously, CMS required states to compare their costs under Section 1915(b) managed care programs with the fee-for-service costs for the same benefits provided to an equivalent population. In recognition of the fact that states operate fewer programs on a fee-for-services basis—so such comparisons are no longer feasible—CMS ended this practice a few years ago. Instead, states demonstrate cost-effectiveness by demonstrating that cost growth in the Section 1915(b) waiver program is within reasonable projected levels.

Process: Like other waiver authorities, states are required to seek approval from CMS. CMS has 90 days to approve or deny the request for a Section 1915(b) waiver, but may “stop the clock” with a request for additional information that would be needed to approve the request. Once the state formally responds to the request, a second 90-day clock begins. It is not unusual for states and CMS to have many informal conversations before the clock re-starts, in the interest of developing a response that renders the application approvable. Section 1915(b) waivers are submitted on an application template designed by CMS.

Timing: Section 1915(b) waivers must be renewed every two years.

Section 1915(c) Waivers

Purpose: Section 1915(c) is used to offer home and community-based services (HCBS) as an alternative to institutional care. Services such as home health care, adult day care, home modifications, and meals on wheels are used to avoid or delay placement in a nursing home or other institution such as hospital or intermediate care facility for the mentally retarded (ICF/MR). Section 1915(c) has been a tool in attacking the so-called “institutional bias” in Medicaid, which is created by the fact that in many states, a more generous income eligibility threshold is used for individuals who live in an institution than for those who live in the community. Examples of groups that states elect to serve under Section 1915(c) waivers are the elderly, the disabled, individuals with mental retardation and developmental disabilities, individuals with a traumatic brain injury, individuals with autism, and individuals with severe mental illness. In order to be served under a Section 1915(c) waiver, the individual has to meet institutional level of care criteria. Unlike other Medicaid services, HCBS waivers can be limited to a set number of

clients and states can maintain waiting lists. This practice addresses the concern that there would be a “woodwork effect” creating a great deal of demand for these services.

What can be waived: As in Section 1915(b), statewideness and comparability can be waived. In addition, as suggested above, the rules surrounding income eligibility for individuals living in the community, Section 1902(a)(10)(C)(i)(III), can be waived. This means that states can use the same standard for community-based individuals as they do for those in an institution such as a nursing home.

Cost neutrality: The budget test for Section 1915(c) waivers is based on comparing the cost of institutional care with home and community-based services. In other words, the state has to demonstrate that it costs no more to deliver care under the waiver than would be the case if the individuals were served in institutions. Based on the population that is served under the waiver, the basis of comparison can be nursing home costs, hospital costs (such as for children with mental illness) or ICF/MR costs. States can choose whether to apply this test on a person-by-person basis or in the aggregate across the waiver.

Process: The process for review and approval of Section 1915(c) waivers is the same as for 1915(b). These waivers are also submitted on a template designed by CMS.

Timing: Initial approval of waivers is for three years; renewals are for five years.

Combination Waivers

It is important to note that Sections 1915(b) and 1915(c) can be used jointly. A state would use a combination waiver in order to provide home and community-based care in a managed care environment. This is a very promising arena in long-term care; however, combination waivers have many complexities, including the fact that the approval periods cannot be synchronized because they are set forth in the federal statute.

Section 1115 Demonstrations

Purpose: Section 1115 is a “research and demonstration” authority, meaning that its purpose is to test innovative approaches to delivering care in Medicaid or SCHIP. The fact that an approach has been tested and proven to be effective under Section 1115 (such as managed care) has, in some instances, led Congress to make it easier for states to adopt this approach in the future. Section 1115 waivers have been used in both Medicaid and

SCHIP over the years to accomplish a number of public policy goals. A few examples of these are:

- Expanding Medicaid or SCHIP eligibility to additional low-income uninsured individuals.
- Lowering the Medicaid birth rate by providing free family planning services to women who would not otherwise be eligible.
- Testing new service delivery models (one example is a health savings account model approved in 2007 for the state of Indiana).
- Allowing for consumer-directed long-term care.

Many times, a Section 1115 demonstration is based on a unique concept developed by a state. Sometimes, however, CMS generates guidance to alert states that the federal government is favorably inclined to approve Section 1115 waivers that follow a certain model. One example of this is the Health Insurance Flexibility and Accountability (HIFA) waiver model that CMS announced in 2001. Several states still have HIFA waivers that were approved subsequent to this announcement.

Missouri previously had a Section 1115 demonstration, approved by CMS in 1998, that expanded eligibility to additional parents and children, though the parent expansion was never fully implemented. This comprehensive waiver has been replaced with one that provides only family planning services to women losing Medicaid eligibility after the birth of a child.

What can be waived: One reason Section 1115 has allowed states to pursue such a huge variety of program designs is that there are few limits on what can be waived. Unlike the other waiver authorities, Section 1115 gives the Secretary of Health and Human Services very broad latitude to waive anything in Section 1902 of the Social Security Act. Section 1902 is the part of the Act that describes how states must run their Medicaid programs. In addition, the Secretary can essentially “waive” Section 1903, which describes the items that can be reimbursed under Medicaid. This means costs that could not ordinarily be included in Medicaid – such as coverage of ineligible groups such as non-disabled adults without dependent children – can be included under Section 1115. There are some types of expenditures that can never be included, irrespective of the waiver authority. This can be because they are described in a section of the statute not affected by Section 1115, or the statute explicitly states that the requirement cannot be waived. One example of this is coverage for certain immigrants.

Budget neutrality: Given that the waiver authority is very broad, CMS saw a need to place some budget constraints on Section 1115 waivers. Unlike the other waiver authorities, the Section 1115 budget neutrality requirements are not set forth in statute but rather have evolved in policy statements.

In general, the budget neutrality requirement is that the waiver not cost the federal government more than the cost without the waiver. In order to gain approval, a state has to show CMS a comparison of with-waiver and without-waiver expenditures. While the waiver is operational, the actual with-waiver costs are continuously compared with the without-waiver allowance. The without-waiver allowance is flexible enough to recognize that states may choose to implement certain changes through a Section 1115 waiver that they could otherwise make without a waiver. One example of this would be expanding eligibility to additional parents. For costs that could not be incurred under the standard Medicaid program (e.g., non-disabled childless adults), the state needs to identify an offset, such as funds that would otherwise be paid to hospitals under the Medicaid program for uncompensated care. Because the mechanics of measuring budget neutrality are not set forth in law, this is often an area of intense negotiation between the state and federal governments for each individual waiver.

The above discussion relates to budget neutrality in the Medicaid context. For the SCHIP program there is no budget neutrality requirement, since each state's SCHIP funds are limited to a statutory allotment. The only requirement for SCHIP is that the waiver and the regular state SCHIP program not exceed the state's allotment. If the state runs out of money in its SCHIP allotment, the basic SCHIP program has to be funded before the waiver program.

Process: Unlike the other waiver authorities, there is no required timeframe for federal review and decision-making on Section 1115 waiver proposals. Because of the amount of negotiation that is often required on budget neutrality and on programmatic issues (e.g., benefit package or cost sharing), it can be years between a state's waiver application and CMS's decision. There is also no set format for a Section 1115 waiver, except in some limited instances, such as HIFA, where CMS has published a recommended format.

Timing: Section 1115 waivers are approved for a five-year period. The waivers can be extended for three years at a time. In recognition of the fact that many states operate a significant portion of their Medicaid program under a waiver, Congress added language to the Social Security Act that provides for a streamlined extension approval process, providing that certain conditions, such as budget neutrality, are met.

Deficit Reduction Act

No discussion of waivers would be complete without mention of the DRA. Under this Act, Congress added some options that were designed to eliminate the need for certain waivers. These include:

- Section 1937, which permits a state to offer a reduced benefit package to certain Medicaid populations.
- Section 1915(i), which permits a state to provide home and community-based programs without a waiver.
- Section 1938, which permits states to set up a health savings account feature for certain Medicaid beneficiaries.

Although Congress intended for the DRA to at least partially replace the need for waivers, it has become clear that some of the flexibility is so constrained as to be of limited usefulness to states. For example, when the state of Indiana wanted to incorporate a health savings account feature into its Medicaid program, Section 1938 was not a viable option because this was being pursued in conjunction with an eligibility expansion. Instead the state made this change through a Section 1115 demonstration. Section 1915(i), the HCBS state plan option, has had limited impact because, unlike Section 1915(c), it does not confer the ability to apply institutional income rules for community-based individuals. The outcome with DRA is very similar to another piece of legislation, the Balanced Budget Act of 1997 (BBA), which was touted as a replacement for Section 1915(b) waivers in that it allowed for mandatory enrollment in managed care without a waiver. It quickly became obvious, however, that states did not see much benefit in replacing their Section 1915(b) waivers because the BBA placed limits on the types of individuals who could be enrolled in managed care.

All of this is not to suggest that the DRA has no usefulness; rather, it means that it will not replace waivers as a way of tailoring a state's Medicaid program to meet policy goals.

Implications

As noted above, waivers can allow states to pursue objectives that would not otherwise be permitted under federal law and regulation. Waivers have become commonplace, particularly those that allow for mandatory enrollment in managed care and those that allow for HCBS services for individuals who would otherwise require institutional care. Even Section 1115 has seen widespread use for both acute care and long-term care

services. By far the most powerful tool in a state's arsenal for covering the uninsured is Section 1115, provided sufficient offsets can be identified for new costs.